ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IMP	ACI SIAI	ENTENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON		EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Secretary of State	Rodney Rodriguez		Rodney.rodriguez@sos.ca	916-695-1680
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Electronic Poll Book Regulations				NOTICE FILE NUMBER
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	ACTS Include calculations and	l assumptions ir	the rulemaking record,	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a. Impacts business and/or employees		orting requirem	ents	
b. Impacts small businesses	<u>=</u>		of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indi		·	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	<u>=</u> -	above (Explain	helow).	
a. Impacts cultorina competitiveness	N None of the	dbove (Explain		
* *	a through g is checked, coi is checked, complete the Fi	-	conomic Impact Statement. Statement as appropriate.	
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ed	conomic impact	of this regulation (which includes t	he fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million	•		·	
Between \$10 and \$25 million	•			
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact	is over \$50 million, agencies are i	required to subm	it a Standardized Regulatory Impact	Assessment
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	•		
Enter the total number of businesses impacted Describe the types of businesses (Include non)	·		·	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	eated:	eliminated:		
Explain:		*0:0 18 0************************************		<u></u>
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:	,	_	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impo				·
Table 1			·	
Will the regulation affect the ability of Californi other states by making it more costly to produ		YES	☐ NO	÷
If YES, explain briefly:			·	4864-8-
	**** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		 		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
1,	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$
4,	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES NO
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$
	Number of units:
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$
	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.
	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the
٠.	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔲 specific statutory requirements, or 📗 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
	Explain:
Э,	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$
4,	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation:
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:

PAGE 2.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD, 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

			·····				
2. Summarize the	total statewide costs a	nd benefits from this reg	gulation and each alternative consid	dered:			
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$					
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		-			
Alternative 2:		Cost: \$				-	
	any quantification issue	s that are relevant to a co	mparison				
of estimated o	costs and benefits for t	his regulation or alterna	itivės:				
regulation ma	ndates the use of spec	ific technologies or equ	standards as an alternative, if a ipment, or prescribes specific ared to lower compliance costs?	YES	□ NO		
Explain:							•
. MAJOR REGU	ILATIONS Include cal	culations and assumption	ons in the rulemaking record,				
 -		<u> </u>	Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offi	ices and de	epartments are	e required to	
			lth and Safety Code section 57				
1. Will the estima	ted costs of this regular	tion to California busines	s enterprises exceed \$10 million?	YES	☐ NO		
		·	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4				
2. Briefly describe	e each alternative, or co	mbination of alternative	s, for which a cost-effectiveness an	alysis was pe	erformed:	•	
Alternative 1:							
Alternative 2:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(Attach addition	nal pages for other alteri	natives)				,	•
3. For the regulat	tion, and each alternati	ve just described enter t	he estimated total cost and overall	cost-offactiv	veness ratio		
		-					
Alternative 1:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
Alternative 2:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
exceeding \$50	million in any 12-mont		onomic impact to business enterpr ate the major regulation is estimate	rises and ind	ividuals located		
YES	□ NO						
			<u>/ Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specifi he Initial Statement of Reasons.	led in			•
5. Briefly describe	the following:	. •					
The increase o	r decrease of investme	nt in the State:	776				
The incentive f	for innovation in produ	cts, materials or processe	25:				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
The benefits of	f the regulations, includ	ling, but not limited to, b	penefits to the health, safety, and w	elfare of Cali	Ifornia	<u> </u>	
residents, work	ker safety, and the state	's environment and qua	lity of life, among any other benefit	ts identified I	by the agency; _		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*						

PAGE 3

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indica			
current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ate appropriate boxes 1 th	nrough 6 and attach calculation	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisca (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califo	al Year which are reimburs ornia Constitution and Sec	sable by the State. (Approximate tions 17500 et seq. of the Gove	e) rnment Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's	Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisca (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califo	al Year which are NOT reim ornia Constitution and Sec	nbursable by the State. (Approx tions 17500 et seq. of the Gove	imate) rnment Code).
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and	d provide the appropriate i	nformation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained i	in		
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by t	he		Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this S	State expressed in their ap	pproval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request f	from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue,	etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of	f the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of le	ocal government which w	vill, at a minimum, offset any ad	ditional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty fo	or a new crime or infractio	n contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	•		
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation make	es only technical, non-subs	tantive or clarifying changes to c	current law regulations.
$\overline{\times}$ 5. No fiscal impact exists, This regulation does not affe	ect any local entity or prog	ram.	

PAGE 4

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

FISCAL INITACI STATEMENT (CONTINU	(ED)
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculating year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ons and assumptions of fiscal impact for the currem
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
🗵 4. Other. Explain Secretary of State's Office of Voting System Technology Assessmen	t currently performs the
duties prescribed in these regulations.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
	•
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
λ_0	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in Some the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency ighest ranking official in the organization.	SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands v Secretary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY MSan Laycles, Deputy SOS	DATE 10/10/2017
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of 1	Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	·
was a	